UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW			
LUIS ALVAREZ, et al.,		-X : :	
	Plaintiffs,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	12-CV-8835 (VSB)
- against - WELL-S INDUSTRIAL, INC., e	t al.,	: :	<u>ORDER</u>
	Defendants.	: : :	
		-X	

VERNON S. BRODERICK, United States District Judge:

This matter was referred to the Honorable Kevin N. Fox for an inquest into damages, costs, and attorneys' fees to be assessed against the defaulting Defendants. (*See* Doc. 23.)

Before me is Magistrate Judge Fox's report and recommendation proposing findings from the inquest. (Doc. 112.)

In reviewing a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Parties may raise specific, written objections to the report and recommendation within 14 days of being served with a copy of the report. *Id.*; *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). When a party submits a timely objection, a district court reviews *de novo* the parts of the report and recommendation to which the party objected. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). With regard to the unobjected-to portions of a report and recommendation, a district court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. *Lewis v. Zon*, 573 F. Supp. 2d 804, 811 (S.D.N.Y. 2008); *Wilds v. United Parcel Serv.*, *Inc.*, 262 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).

No party filed any objections to Magistrate Judge Fox's report and recommendation. I

Case 1:12-cv-08835-VSB-KNF Document 117 Filed 08/18/15 Page 2 of 2

therefore review it for clear error only. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Finding no clear error, I

hereby ADOPT the report and recommendation in its entirety.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to enter judgment against Defendants Well-S

Industrial, Inc. and Bong Jun Park a/k/a Bongjun Park in the following amounts: (1) \$13,663.84

in damages to Luis Alvarez, \$2,781.17 in damages to Angel Rodolfo Camas, \$5,979.47 in

damages to Diego Camas, \$3,051.63 in damages to Luis Corasaica, \$6,618.58 in damages to

Angel Quille, \$2,072.17 in damages to Wilson Quito, and \$14,679.26 in damages to Jose

Sanchez; (2) \$35,730 in attorneys' fees; (3) \$591.76 in costs; and (4) post-judgment interest.

The Clerk of Court is also respectfully directed to terminate the pending motion at Doc.

88 and close the case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2015

New York, New York

United States District Judge

2